

Geometric Flows

Momin Ahmed

July 21, 2024

Chapter 1: Regular Surfaces

Local Parametrizations of Class C^k :

Def.: Consider a subset $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. A function $F(u, v) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ from an open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ onto an open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset M$ is called a C^k local parametrization (or a C^k local coordinate chart) of M (where $k \geq 1$) if all of the following holds:

- (1) $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is C^k when the codomain is regarded as \mathbb{R}^3 .
- (2) $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a homeomorphism, meaning that $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is bijective, and both $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and $F^{-1} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ are continuous.
- (3) For all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{U}$, the cross product:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} \neq 0.$$

The coordinates (u, v) are called the local coordinates of M . If $F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow M$ is of class C^k for any integer k , then F is said to be a C^∞ (or smooth) local parametrization.

Generalized Stereographic parametrization:

We define n -dimension sphere as

$$S^n := (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1$$

We can parametrize this by considering the projection onto \mathbb{R}^n . Let $s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, then we define the functions

$$F_+(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left(\frac{2x_1}{s+1} + \dots + \frac{2x_n}{s+1} + \frac{s-1}{s+1} \right)$$

This parametrization $R^n \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ covers the whole sphere except $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$.

$$F_-(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left(\frac{2x_1}{s+1} + \dots + \frac{2x_n}{s+1} + \frac{-s+1}{s+1} \right)$$

This parametrization $R^n \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ covers the whole sphere except $(0, \dots, 0, -1)$.
The inverse can be found to be

$$F_+^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1-x_{n+1}} \right)$$

$$F_-^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \left(\frac{x_1}{1+x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1+x_{n+1}} \right)$$

Transition map for this parametrization

With regards to covering the whole sphere, notice that the transition map is given by

$$F_-^{-1} \circ F_+(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{x_1}{s}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{s} \right) = F_+^{-1} \circ F_-(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

These maps are smooth since in our domain $s \neq 0$.

Chapter 2: Abstract Manifolds

Homeomorphism

As defined in the notes, $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a homeomorphism if F is a bijection and both F and F^{-1} are continuous.

Properties:

1. Conserves compactness. Example $(0, 1)$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} but $[0, 1]$ is not.
2. Open and closed is conserved. Example $(0, 1)$ is not homeomorphic to $[0, 1]$.
3. Connectedness is conserved. Example punctured $(0, 2\pi)$ is not homeomorphic to a punctured circle (since it is still connected).

Example of F such that F is bijective and continuous but F^{-1} is not:

Consider parametrization of circle by $F = (\cos t, \sin t)$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi)$

For a compact set, F is 1-1 and continuous $\implies F^{-1}$ is continuous $\implies F$ is a homeomorphism.

Locally Euclidean of Dimension n

Def: (X, \mathcal{T}) is called locally euclidean of dimension n if : $\forall x \in X$, there is an open neighbourhood $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and a homeomorphism $h : U \rightarrow U'$ with $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open.

As an example, a double cone is not locally euclidean at the vertex because the open ball around the vertex is always 3D, so we can't really express it by 2D I think.

More formally, assume it is a manifold and consider the parametrization at the vertex. Removing the vertex, our space is disconnected, while the parametrizing space remains connected after removal of a distinct point (since it is open).

Topological Manifolds

Def: A Hausdorff (points are separable), second countable topological space (space has countable basis) M is said to be an n -dimensional topological manifold if for any point $p \in M$, \exists a homeomorphism $F : U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. This homeomorphism is also the local parametrization.

Smooth Manifolds

For abstract manifolds, the manifold may not be in \mathbb{R}^n so it may not make sense to talk about differentiability of F . However, we can define differentiability for transition maps :

Def: An n -dimensional topological manifold M is said to be smooth if there is a collection \mathcal{A} of local parametrizations $F_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\alpha$ such that

- (1) $\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}_\alpha = M$ so these local parametrizations cover all of M
- (2) all transition maps $F_\alpha^{-1} \circ F_\beta$ are smooth on their domains.

Examples: Easy to see that if a topological manifold can be covered by one global parametrization (example in \mathbb{R}^n), then it is a smooth manifold. The graph of T_f of any continuous f is also a smooth manifold covered by one parametrization.

Any regular curve $\gamma(t)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension 1.

Any regular surface in \mathbb{R}^3 are smooth manifolds.

Tangent spaces

Another way to define these spaces is the collection of vectors which "start" from p , and follow their derivative at p :

$$T_p M = \{\gamma'(0) : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M \text{ differentiable with } \gamma(0) = p\}$$

where γ is the parametrised curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$.

Remark: This should only be true if manifold is not too abstract, i.e. $M \in \mathbb{R}^n$ since our tangent space lives in \mathbb{R}^n . This definition can be extended to abstract manifolds but im too lazy to think about it in the the video

For Abstract Manifolds:

The partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}(p)$ can be thought as an operator:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}(p) : C^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f \rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_j}(p)$$

where $C^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of all C^1 functions from M to \mathbb{R} . Hence we define the tangent spaces

$$T_p M = \text{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(p), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n}(p)\right\}$$

where the partials are with respect to the local parametrization $F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Surprisingly, the definition does not depend on the choice of parametrization since $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}(p)\}_{j=1}^n$ happen to be linearly independent, so $\dim T_p M = n = \dim M$.

Tangent maps

Partial Derivates

Definition 2.29 (Partial Derivatives of Maps between Manifolds). Let $\Phi : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ be a smooth map between two smooth manifolds M and N . Let $F(u_1, \dots, u_m) : \mathcal{U}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \subset M$ be a smooth local parametrization around p and $G(v_1, \dots, v_n) : \mathcal{U}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_N \subset N$ be a smooth local parametrization around $\Phi(p)$. Then, the partial derivative of Φ with respect to u_i at p is defined to be:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_i}(p) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial u_i} \bigg|_{F^{-1}(p)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}(\Phi(p)).$$

Here (v_1, \dots, v_n) are regarded as functions of (u_1, \dots, u_m) in a sense that:

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n) = G^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ F(u_1, \dots, u_m)$$

Remark: The partial derivation $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i}$ does depend on F , the parametrization of domain space, but not on G , the parametrization of target space.

Chapter 7: Euclidean HyperSurfaces

Regular HyperSurfaces

Def: Consider the local parametrizations that cover the whole space, we require these 3 conditions to hold:

- (1) $F_\alpha(u_\alpha^1, \dots, u_\alpha^n)$ is smooth
- (2) F_α is a homeomorphism
- (3) The vectors $\{\frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial u_\alpha^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_\alpha}{\partial u_\alpha^n}\}$ are linearly independent

Examples: Graphs are HyperSurfaces, we can easily check the 3 conditions.

z The two Stereographic parametrizations of n -dimensional sphere are hypersurface.

Many results from regular surfaces follow to hypersurface.

Fundamental Forms and Curvatures

First Fundamental Form

Def: For a hypersurface $\sum^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, a 2-tensor g on \sum such that

$$g = \left\langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j} \right\rangle du^i \otimes du^j$$

Remember from Chapter 3, for two linear functionals $A \in V^*, B \in W^*$,

$$A \otimes B : V \times W \rightarrow R, (A \otimes B)(X, Y) = A(X)B(Y)$$

for du^i, du^j , is i th component and j th component multiplied together.

$du^i \otimes du^j = \delta_{ij}$ (1 iff $i = j$).

The Matrix $[g]$ is defined similarly, without the tensor products.

This matrix is dependent on the local coordinates, which g is not.

It is also easy to see that this matrix is symmetric, which is always nice to have.

Second Forms

Def: Given a regular hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with Gauss map ν . We define the second fundamental form of Σ at p to be the 2-tensor:

$$h(X, Y) := -\langle X, D_Y \nu \rangle.$$

Under a local coordinate system (u_1, \dots, u_n) , we denote its component as

$$h_{ij} := h\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}\right) = -\left\langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}, \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial u_j} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}, \nu \right\rangle.$$

Example: For a graph, we can note that

$$h_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}, \nu \right\rangle = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}}$$

And the matrix $[h]$ would then be

$$[h] = \frac{Hess(f)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}}$$

where $Hess(f)$ is the hessian $= \nabla \nabla f$.

The shape operator S_p tells us how the normal vector ν changes in all directions.

Besides the definition of curvature as $\frac{\partial T}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s}$, intuitively we can also say the curvature is the $\frac{1}{\text{radius}}$ of the circle that just touches that point. Some more curvature formulations :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = - \int k^2 ds = - \int k d\theta$$

Hamil and Cage paper - section 4

A strictly convex closed curve has some nice properties that we could use. Firstly, strictly convex means that we can parametrize it by θ - the angle from a fixed straight line (usually the x axis). This is because in a strictly convex curve, this angle is strictly increasing (or decreasing) as you go around the close loop. This formally means that the curvature is never 0.

Closed loop means that we travel a full 2π degrees, and that we end up in the same point. In particular $\int_0^{2\pi} T(\theta) d\theta = 0$ where $T(\theta)$ is the tangent.

4.1 and 4.2

The curve shortening process is a flow where each shape follows the direction of its curvature. So if at a given time $t = t_0$ we have a curvature points inwards, that point to go inwards at the rate of the curvature. Since we are dealing with convex curves, we will only have inwards curvature.

Section 4.1 of the paper proved that the curve shortening process for the convex curves is equivalent to an IVP for a PDE. This means that our curve shortening flow only depends on the initial construction and no other factors. The paper also proved previously that during a process, a convex curve remains convex by showing that the smallest curvature is always increasing (so it never goes "inside" as it is initially positive).

4.3

Def: Median curvature (different from mean curvature) k^* is defined as

$$k^* = \sup\{b \mid k(\theta) > b \text{ on an interval of length at least } \pi\}$$

This is useful for coming up with certain estimates:

Geometric Estimate bounds median curvature by ratio of arc length to area.

Integral Estimate states that $k^*(t)$ bounded on $[0, T) \implies \int_0^{2\pi} \log k(\theta, t) d\theta$ is also bounded on $[0, T)$.

Pointwise Estimate gives a strong bound for the opposite direction. $\int_0^{2\pi} \log k(\theta, t) d\theta$ is bounded on $[0, T) \implies k(\theta, t)$ is uniformly bounded on $S^1 \times [0, T)$.

Remarks: Boundedness of $\int_0^{2\pi} \log(k(\theta, t)) d\theta \not\Rightarrow$ boundedness of $\int_0^{2\pi} k(\theta, t) d\theta$. As an example, let $k(\theta, t) = e^{\frac{1}{x}}$.

4.3.1 : Given we have a proper curvature (satisfied 4.14), if we bound t such that the area enclosed is bounded away from 0 (so it does not collapse), then curvature $k(\theta, t)$ is uniformly bounded.

Since it does not collapse, we have a smallest circle whose inverse radius gives the curvature, hence we have an upper bound (basically geometric estimate).

To prove uniformity, by integral estimate since $k^*(t)$ is bounded, the given log integral is bounded.

Since log integral is bounded, by pointwise estimate, we have that k is uniformly bounded.

Cool lemma: if the log integral is bounded, then for any $\delta > 0, \exists C$ such that $k(\theta, t) \leq C$ except on intervals of length $\leq \delta$.

Section 4.4

4.4.1 shows that k bounded $\implies \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta}$ is also bounded as our PDE shows that $\frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta}$ grows at most exponentially.

Additionally, if k is bounded $\implies \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta}$ is bounded also $\implies \int_0^{2\pi} (k'')^4$ is bounded. The proof is just by using the evolution equation.

This section essentially proves that if the area is bounded away from 0, $k^P(i)$ are all bounded for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$.

This analysis can also give a bound with respect to time (given area does not go to zero). Suppose the solution exists on $[0, T)$ with area bounded away from 0, then k has a limit $t \rightarrow T$ which is C^∞ and we can extend the solution after T .